- Connecticut and its influence on the reporting of melanoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1992;26: 198–202.
- Karagas MR, Thomas DB, Roth GJ, Johnson LK, Weiss NS. The effects of changes in health care delivery on the reported incidence of cutaneous melanoma in western Washington State. Am J Epidemiol. 1991;133:58-62.
- 12. Seiffert J. Underreporting of melanoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1992;84:289.
- Weinstock MA, Reynes J. Changing survival in mycosis fungoides: a population-based assessment of trends in the United States. Cancer. 1999;85:208-212.
- Weinstock MA, Reynes JF. Validation of cause of death certification for outpatient cancers: the contrasting cases of melanoma and mycosis fungoides. Am J Epidemiol. 1998;148:1184–1186.
- Wood GS, Salvekar A, Schaffer J, et al. Evidence against a role for human T-cell lymphotrophic
- virus type I (HTLV-I) in the pathogenesis of American cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 1996;107:301–307.
- Li G, Vowels BR, Benoit BM, Rook AH, Lessin SR. Failure to detect human T-lymphotropic virus type-I proviral DNA in cell lines and tissues from patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Invest Dermatol. 1996;107:308-313.
- Weinstock MA. Epidemiology of mycosis fungoides. Semin Dermatol. 1994;13:154-159.

ABSTRACT

Objectives. This study investigated the relationship between reproductive events during adolescence and subsequent breast cancer risk.

Methods. Logistic regression models used self-reported data from 862 case patients and 790 controls in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study.

Results. Miscarriage, induced abortion, and full-term pregnancy before 20 years of age were not associated with breast cancer. Among premenopausal women, breast-feeding before 20 years of age was inversely associated with disease. Oral contraceptive use before 18 years of age was positively associated with disease risk among African American women only.

Conclusions. Pregnancy during adolescence does not appear to influence breast cancer risk, but breast-feeding may. A possible increased breast cancer risk among African American women who used oral contraceptives as adolescents warrants further study. (Am J Public Health. 1999;89:1244–1247)

Adolescent Reproductive Events and Subsequent Breast Cancer Risk

Pamela M. Marcus, PhD, MS, Donna Day Baird, PhD, Robert C. Millikan, PhD, DVM, Patricia G. Moorman, PhD, Bahjat Qaqish, PhD, and Beth Newman, PhD

Reproductive events are among the most well established of breast cancer risk factors. ¹ Often hypothesized to act by modifying endogenous hormone levels, ² the occurrence of such events during adolescence can have different effects on risk in that the adolescent and adult hormonal milieus can be quite different. ³ Adolescent reproductive exposures also may be markers for other breast cancer risk factors, such as socioeconomic status (SES), or highly correlated with other aspects of exposure that are related to disease risk.

We explored the relationships of fullterm pregnancy, breast-feeding, miscarriage, induced abortion, and oral contraceptive use during adolescence with subsequent breast cancer risk by analyzing data from the Carolina Breast Cancer Study, a populationbased case—control investigation. We also examined potential effect modification by age, race, and menopausal status.

Methods

The Carolina Breast Cancer Study was a population-based, case—control study of breast cancer in a contiguous 24-county region of central and eastern North Carolina.⁴ Women aged 20 to 74 years with a first invasive, primary breast cancer diagnosis between May 1993 and May 1996 were eligible; African American case patients and patients younger than 50 years at diagnosis were oversampled to increase the numbers in these subgroups. Sampling fractions and randomized recruitment techniques were used in selecting case patients.^{5,6} The same methods were used to sample controls from Division

of Motor Vehicle records (if the individuals were younger than 65 years) and Medicare records (if the individuals were 65 years or older); these individuals were frequency matched to the case patient age-race distribution. All study interviews were conducted in person. Response rates, calculated among women who were eligible and could be located, were 77% among case patients and 68% among controls.⁷

We explored the relationship of breast cancer with adolescent occurrence of a first full-term pregnancy (gestation of 7 months or more), breast-feeding, miscarriage (gestation of less than 7 months), induced abortion, and

Pamela M. Marcus is with the Biometry Branch, Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Md, and the Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Donna Day Baird is with the Epidemiology Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC. Robert C. Millikan and Patricia G. Moorman are with the Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Patricia G. Moorman is also with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Conn. Bahjat Qaqish is with the Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Beth Newman is with the Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Pamela M. Marcus, PhD, MS, Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, Executive Plaza North, Suite 344, 6130 Executive Blvd, MSC 7354, Bethesda, MD 20892-7354 (e-mail: pm145q@nih.gov).

This paper was accepted March 5, 1999.

TABLE 1—Odds Ratios (ORs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) for the Association Between Age at First Lactation and Breast Cancer Risk: Carolina Breast Cancer Study, 1993–1996

	Premenopausal			Postmenopausal			
	Case Patients	Controls	OR ^a (95% CI)	Case Patients	Controls	ORª (95% CI)	
Never breast-fed	187	146	1.0	207	197	1.0	
First breast-fed before 20 years of age	5	15	0.2 (0.1, 0.6)	58	66	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	
Lifetime lactation duration <1 year	4	10	0.3 (0.1, 1.0)	25	37	0.6 (0.4, 1.1)	
Lifetime lactation duration ≥1 year	1	5	0.1 (0.0, 0.8)	33	29	1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	
First breast-fed at 20 years or older	112	95	0.8 (0.6, 1.2)	98	128	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	
Lifetime lactation duration <1 year	68	62	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	62	79	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)	
Lifetime lactation duration ≥1 year	44	33	0.9 (0.6, 1.6)	35	44	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)	
First full-term birth before 20 years of ag	e						
Never breast-fed	74	52	1.0	68	61	1.0	
First breast-fed before 20 years of age	5	15	0.2 (0.1, 0.6)	57	66	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)	
First breast-fed at 20 years or older	4	10	0.3 (0.1, 0.9)	66	12	0.5 (0.2, 1.3)	

Note. Data are restricted to parous women with known or imputed menopausal status.

use of oral contraceptives (duration of 3 months or more). Adolescence was initially defined as the period between 10 and 19 years of age, but the range was further divided if sample size permitted. Questions directly assessed age at first occurrence of the reproductive event or exposure. Analyses of breast-feeding were restricted to parous women; analyses of miscarriage or induced abortion were conducted regardless of, as well as contingent on, gravidity status. An analysis comparing adolescent induced abortion with other adolescent pregnancy outcomes (i.e., miscarriage or full-term pregnancy during adolescence) also was conducted. Analyses of induced abortion and oral contraceptive use were restricted to women younger than 50 years because of the very rare occurrence of these exposures during adolescence among older women.

The 5 study exposures were examined as potential confounders of one another, as were other established or suspected breast cancer risk factors, including age at menarche, body mass, number of full-term births, attained education, and breast cancer history in a firstdegree relative. Other adolescent exposures, including comparative body size at 10 years of age, physical activity at 12 years of age, and age at initiation of cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption, also were considered. Methods previously employed by Newcomb et al.8 were used in imputing menopausal status for women who had undergone a hysterectomy but had at least 1 remaining ovary. Race (African American vs others), menopausal status (premenopausal vs postmenopausal), and age at diagnosis-selection (younger than 50 years vs 50 years or older) were explored as possible effect modifiers.

Logistic regression models with terms for age (modeled in 5-year intervals), race,

and an offset to account for the sampling design were used to determine relative odds of disease. 9,10 In no instance did inclusion of a potential confounder change the odds ratio (OR) of interest by more than 15% (our confounding criterion); thus, we present odds ratios adjusted only for the design variables. Effect modification was deemed present if stratum-specific odds ratios differed 2-fold or more. Effect modification by age was not explored for induced abortion or oral contraceptive use because analyses excluded individuals 50 years and older; in analyses of miscarriage and induced abortion restricted to nulliparous women, evaluation of effect modification by race was not possible owing to the small numbers of women experiencing such events. We examined whether lifetime duration of breast-feeding or time since most recent use of oral contraceptives influenced the associations of each adolescent exposure with breast cancer risk. In an attempt to disentangle the relationships of adolescent fullterm pregnancy and breast-feeding with breast cancer, we examined the relationship of breast-feeding and breast cancer risk among women with a first full-term pregnancy before 20 years of age.

Results

Although our goal was to accrue approximately equal numbers of participants for each race and age category, our final sample contained fewer African American women (335 case patients and 332 controls, as compared with 527 White case patients and 458 controls) and fewer older women (356 case patients 50 years and older and 383 controls, as compared with 506 case patients younger

than 50 years and 407 controls). As expected, case patients were more likely than controls to report a family history of breast cancer, an earlier age at menarche, and fewer full-term pregnancies (data not shown).

Relative to women with a first full-term pregnancy occurring between 20 and 29 years of age, those with a first full-term pregnancy before 18 years of age (OR = 1.1,95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.8, 1.5) or at 18 or 19 years of age (OR = 1.0, 95%)CI = 0.8, 1.4) were not at reduced breast cancer risk. Among parous, premenopausal women, breast-feeding before 20 years of age, relative to no history of breast-feeding, was associated with a substantial risk reduction (OR = 0.2, 95% CI = 0.1, 0.6); however, this result was based on small numbers of women reporting lactation during their teen years (Table 1). This inverse relationship persisted when lifetime duration of lactation was considered and when analyses were restricted to women with a first full-term pregnancy before 20 years of age. In the latter analysis, adjustment for lifetime number of full-term pregnancies and restriction to women with parity of 2 or greater produced similar results (data not shown).

Neither miscarriage nor induced abortion before 20 years of age, relative to no history of either event, conferred a meaningful increase in risk (Table 2). The absence of a relationship persisted in separate analyses restricted to gravid, parous, or nulliparous women. Comparison of induced abortions with other adolescent pregnancy outcomes also suggested no association.

African American women who used oral contraceptives before 18 years of age were at elevated risk of breast cancer relative to those who had never used oral contraceptives (OR = 2.0, 95% CI = 1.0, 4.3)

^aAdjusted for race and age at diagnosis-selection, as well as sampling design.

TABLE 2—Odds Ratios (ORs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (Cls) for the Association Between Age at First Premature Pregnancy Termination and Breast Cancer Risk: Carolina Breast Cancer Study, 1993–1996

	All Women		Nulliparous Women		Gravid Women			Parous Women				
	Case Patients	Controls	OR ^a s (95% CI)	Case Patients	Controls	ORª (95% CI)	Case Patients	Controls	OR ^a s (95% CI)	Case Patients	Controls	ORª (95% CI)
Age at first												
miscarriage, y												
Never	637	548	1.0	111	72	1.0	546	487	1.0	526	476	1.0
<20	40	34	1.0 (0.6, 1.6)	6	5	0.9 (0.3, 3.5)	40	34	1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	34	29	1.1 (0.6, 1.8
≥20	177		0.8 (0.6, 1.0)		10	0.9 (0.4, 2.3)	177		0.8 (0.7, 1.1)		176	0.8 (0.9, 1.1
Age at first induced abortion, y ^b			, , ,			, , ,			, , ,			•
Never	416	344	1.0	72	43	1.0	355	309	1.0	344	301	1.0
<20	19	11	1.2 (0.6, 2.7)	5	2	1.3 (0.2, 9.7)	19	11	1.3 (0.6, 2.9)	14	9	1.2 (0.5, 2.9
≥20	65	45	1.1 (0.7, 1.7)		6	1.2 (0.4, 3.9)		45	1.2 (0.8, 1.8)		39	1.1 (0.7, 1.8
Outcome of first adolescent pregnancy	y ^{b,c}		, , ,			, , ,			, , ,			•
First full-term pregnancy or miscarriage before 20 years	· · ·		•••	•••		•••	250	252	1.0	244	247	1.0
First induced abortion before 20 years	on		•••			•••	19	11	1.2 (0.5, 2.9)	14	9	1.1 (0.4, 2.8

^aAdjusted for race and age at diagnosis-selection, as well as sampling design.

(Table 3). Among White women, no such relationship was observed. Exclusion of women whose first use occurred during adolescence but after a full-term pregnancy did not change the results (data not shown). Among African American women who used oral contraceptives during adolescence, higher risks were observed for current users and those who discontinued use within the 10 years before diagnosis—selection (Table 3).

Discussion

In this population-based case—control study of North Carolina women, adolescent pregnancies neither increased nor decreased breast cancer risk. Among premenopausal women, breast-feeding before 20 years of age was inversely associated with disease risk. Use of oral contraceptives before 18 years of age was positively associated with disease risk only among African American women.

Our finding of no breast cancer risk reduction with a first full-term pregnancy before 18 years of age was observed in one¹¹ of two^{11,12} previous studies that explored the relationship. We expected an inverse association because first full-term pregnancies at young ages are generally assumed to reduce breast cancer risk owing to early breast cell differentiation.¹³ The considerable reduction in breast cancer risk seen here among premenopausal women who breast-fed as ado-

TABLE 3—Odds Ratios (ORs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) for the Association Between Age at First Oral Contraceptive (OC) Use and Breast Cancer, Among Women Younger Than 50 Years: Carolina Breast Cancer Study, 1993–1996

	White			African American			
	Case Patients	Controls	ORª (95% CI)	Case Patients	Controls	ORª (95% CI)	
Never used OCs	41	34	1.0	35	45	1.0	
First OC use before 18 years of age	37	33	0.9 (0.4, 1.7)	36	20	2.0 (1.0, 4.3)	
First OC use at 18 or 19 years of age	85	67	1.1 (0.6, 1.9)	39	35	1.4 (0.8, 2.8)	
First OC use before 20 years of age Regardless of TSLU TSLU <10 years ^b TSLU ≥10 years	122 47 75	100 34 66	1.0 (0.6, 1.7) 1.0 (0.5, 2.1) 1.0 (0.6, 1.8)	75 33 42	55 16 39	1.6 (0.9, 2.9) 2.2 (1.0, 5.3) 1.4 (0.8, 2.7)	
First OC use at 20 years or older Regardless of TSLU TSLU <10 years ^b TSLU ≥10 years	166 47 119	102 26 76	1.4 (0.8, 2.3) 1.5 (0.8, 3.0) 1.3 (0.8, 2.3)	65 23 42	71 19 52	1.2 (0.7, 2.1) 1.7 (0.8, 3.7) 1.1 (0.6, 2.0)	

Note. TSLU = time since last use.

bRestricted to women younger than 50 years at diagnosis-selection.

^cRestricted to women who had a pregnancy before 20 years of age.

^aAdjusted for race and age at diagnosis-selection, as well as sampling design.

blncludes current users.

lescents also has been observed in another study.8 Breast-feeding, regardless of timing, may reduce risk through breast cell differentiation and involution over and above that of pregnancy, as well as by elimination of carcinogens via breast milk. 14,15

Results of analyses of adolescent miscarriage and induced abortion, hypothesized to increase breast cancer risk as a result of breast cell proliferation without differentiation, 16 have been quite varied. 17-20 This may be due to the use of different reference categories and parity restrictions. We conducted a variety of analyses, including those used by other investigators 17-20 (data not shown), yet none produced evidence of an association of breast cancer with adolescent miscarriage and induced abortion. Reporting error also may influence analyses of induced abortion.²¹ The extent of such error will probably vary across studies for several reasons, including the legality of the procedure as well as the ages and religious beliefs of participants. Our analysis comparing adolescent induced abortion with other potential pregnancy outcomes has not been presented previously; we believe it may be most relevant, however, because it directly reflects the choice faced by pregnant teens.

Adolescent oral contraceptive use can substantially increase levels of circulating ovarian hormones, because menstrual cycles during adolescence are often anovulatory.³ Hence, adolescent oral contraceptive use is hypothesized to increase breast cancer risk. A large pooled analysis indicated that first use of oral contraceptives before 20 years of age conferred, of all initiation ages, the greatest increase in risk, although the magnitude was small and varied by amount of time since most recent use.22 The only study other than ours to examine the relationship specifically among African American women also observed a substantially elevated breast cancer risk.²³ There is little reason to believe that this association should differ by race; therefore, other factors may have influenced our observation of effect modification, including the possibility of nonresponse bias. Differences in educational attainment between users and nonusers of oral contraceptives were more extreme for African American participants than for White participants, suggesting that inadequate control of confounding, perhaps for SES, might have produced this discrepancy. However, adjustment for education, current income, and a measure of adolescent SES (head of household's occupation) did not influence the results.

Given that many adolescent girls are sexually active, the relationship of reproductive events and exposures during the teen years with future breast cancer risk is of potential public health importance. A possible increase in breast cancer among women who used oral contraceptives during adolescence, particularly African Americans, warrants further study.

Contributors

All authors contributed to the development of hypotheses, development of analysis strategies, and preparation of the manuscript. P.M. Marcus analyzed the data and wrote most of the manuscript. D.D. Baird contributed to the design of variables. R.C. Millikan, P.G. Moorman, B. Oagish, and B. Newman planned the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. B. Newman conceptualized the Carolina Breast Cancer Study and obtained funding. R. C. Millikan, P. G. Moorman, and B. Newman directed and managed the Carolina Breast Cancer Study.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded in part through the following National Cancer Institute (NCI) grants: T32-CA09330 (Cancer Training Grant), R25-CA57726 (Cancer Prevention and Control Pre-Doctoral Fellowship), and P50-CA58223 (SPORE in Breast Cancer). Additional support was received from the NCI Cancer Prevention and Control Fellowship Program.

This work was approved by the Public Health Institutional Review Board of the University of North Carolina School of Medicine. All subjects consented to be interviewed.

We wish to thank the Carolina Breast Cancer Study interviewers, Carolyn Dunmore, Dianne Mattingly, Cherryl Robinson, Theresa Nalevaiko, and Patricia Plummer. We also thank Jessica Tse and Dr Beverly Rockhill for their data management and statistical assistance.

References

- 1. Kelsey JL. Breast cancer epidemiology: summary and future directions. Epidemiol Rev. 1993:15:256-263.
- 2. Pike MC, Spicer DV, Dahmoush L, Press MF. Estrogens, progestogens, normal breast cell proliferation, and breast cancer risk. Epidemiol Rev. 1993;15:17-35.
- 3. Styne DM. Puberty. In: Greenspan FS, Baxter JD, eds. Basic and Clinical Endocrinology. 4th ed. Norwalk, Conn: Appleton & Lange; 1994: 501-523.
- 4. Newman B, Moorman PG, Millikan R, et al. The Carolina Breast Cancer Study: integrating population-based epidemiology and molecular biology. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 1995;35:51-60.
- 5. Weinberg CR, Wacholder S. The design and analysis of case-control studies with biased sampling. Biometrics. 1990;46:963-975.
- Weinberg CR, Sandler DP. Randomized recruitment in case-control studies. Am J Epidemiol. 1991;134:421-432.
- 7. Moorman PG, Newman B, Millikan RC, Tse C-KJ, Sandler DP. Participation rates in a case-control study: the impact of age, race,

- and race of interviewer. Ann Epidemiol. 1999; 9:188-195.
- 8. Newcomb PA, Storer BE, Longnecker MP, et al. Lactation and a reduced risk of premenopausal breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 1994;330:81-87.
- 9. Breslow NE, Day NE. Statistical Methods in Cancer Research, Volume 1: The Analysis of Case-Control Studies. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 1980.
- 10. SAS/STAT Software: Changes and Enhancements Through Release 6.11. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 1996.
- 11. Brinton LA, Hoover R, Fraumeni JF Jr. Reproductive factors in the aetiology of breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 1983;47:757-762.
- 12. Layde PM, Webster LA, Baughman AL, Wingo PA, Rubin GL, Ory HW. The independent associations of parity, age at first full-term pregnancy, and duration of breast-feeding with the risk of breast cancer. J Clin Epidemiol. 1989; 42:963-973.
- 13. Russo J, Tay LK, Russo IH. Differentiation of the mammary gland and susceptibility to carcinogenesis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 1982;2:5-73.
- Lund LR, Romer J, Thomasset N, et al. Two distinct phases of apoptosis in mammary gland involution: proteinase-independent and -dependent pathways. Development. 1996;122:181-193.
- 15. Li M, Hu J, Heermeier K, Hennighausen L, Furth PA. Apoptosis and remodeling of mammary gland tissue during involution proceeds through p53-independent pathways. Cell Growth Differ.
- 16. Kelsey JL, Gammon MD, John EM. Reproductive factors and breast cancer. Epidemiol Rev. 1993;15:36-47.
- 17. Newcomb PA, Storer BE, Longnecker MP, Mittendorf R, Greenberg ER, Willett WC. Pregnancy termination in relation to risk of breast cancer. JAMA. 1996;275:283-287.
- Melbye M, Wohlfahrt J, Olsen JH, et al. Induced abortion and the risk of breast cancer. New Engl J Med. 1997:336:81-85.
- 19. Rosenberg L, Palmer JR, Kaufman DW, Strom BL, Schottenfeld D, Shapiro S. Breast cancer in relation to the occurrence and time of induced and spontaneous abortion. Am J Epidemiol. 1988;127:981-989.
- 20. Daling JR, Malone KE, Voigt LF, White E, Weiss NS. Risk of breast cancer among young women: relationship to induced abortion. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1994;86:1584-1592.
- Lindefors-Harris BM, Eklund G, Adami HO, Meirik O. Response bias in a case-control study: analysis utilizing comparative data concerning legal abortions from two independent Swedish studies. Am J Epidemiol. 1991;134: 1003-1008.
- 22. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and hormonal contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of individual data on 53,297 women with breast cancer and 100,239 women without breast cancer from 54 epidemiologic studies. Lancet. 1996; 347:1713-1727.
- 23. Palmer JR, Rosenberg L, Rao RS, et al. Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer risk among African-American women. Cancer Causes Control. 1995;6:321-331.